

**EXAMINATION OF THE MILTON ABBAS NEIGHBOURHOOD DEVELOPMENT PLAN**  
**(DORSET COUNCIL)**

**Examiner's Questions – 20 April 2020**

**EQ1.** Messrs Gleeson say that past completions should not be used to reduce the housing requirement, principally because the planning exercise (including public consultation) takes 2020 as the starting-point. In addition, they say that no allowance should be made for the conversion of existing buildings (table 1 of the Plan). Do you have any response to this? *(Addressed to DC and MAPC)*

**EQ2.** I am aware that the former North Dorset District Council at some point accepted the continuing need for flexibility in considering the housing situation, due to the absence of a 5-year supply. Is that something which is also now the present position of Dorset Council? *(Addressed to DC)*

**EQ3.** Gleeson say that the original proposals for site 6 were modified in response to concerns by the AONB team, rather than dropped entirely, and they consider it inconsistent that the same approach was not adopted in relation to site 7, which had attracted similar objections. May I have a comment? *(Addressed to MAPC)*

**EQ4.** It would be helpful to have a response to Gleeson's critique of the approach to the review of the settlement boundary (paragraphs 26-28 of their representation). *(Addressed to MAPC)*

**EQ5.** I would like a comment on Mr Burdett-Clark's objection to the inclusion of protection for viewpoint 6, which he says is not accessible to the public. *(Addressed to MAPC)*

**EQ6.** Gleeson say that the AECOM assessment of the screening of site 5 is based on a factual inaccuracy. May I have a comment? *(Addressed to MAPC)*

*David Kaiserman*  
*Independent Examiner*