

Site Name & Reference AS15 – Tatchells extension

Brief Summary of Proposal

Extraction of 380,000 tonnes sand and gravel over 3-4 years

Total number of Representations received **9** Number of Representors: **9**

Were comments received from the following consultees?

| | | |
|-------------------------------|---|---|
| Natural England Yes | Local Nature Partnership No | Parish Council (Wareham Town) No |
| English Heritage No | Local Enterprise Partnership: No | District Council (Purbeck) No |
| Environment Agency Yes | | |

Other bodies making comments included: Wareham St Martin Parish Council: **Yes**

CPRE
RSPB

Petitions – No petition has been received.

Site Issues – the following is a summary of the main issues that have been raised by stakeholders.

| Summary of Issue | Officer Initial Response | Actions & Further Information Requirements |
|--|--|---|
| General Issues | | |
| Environmental Issues | | |
| <p>Restoration:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Wetland restoration opportunities need to adhere to WFD. ▪ Restoration proposals should incorporate landscaping to promote ecological importance on the land. ▪ Considered that investigations should be made regarding restoration to heathland. ▪ Not appropriate to restore to agriculture. | <p>The adopted Minerals Strategy sets out policies relating to restoration as well as general development management policies which cover the identified issues. It will be a matter for the planning application stage to consider suitable conditions relating to detailed restoration programmes. At this stage site proposers have been contacted requesting further information about their sites which will inform our understanding of restoration issues and the MPA will also liaise with relevant experts such as Natural England and the Environment Agency. This information will form part of the overall assessment of the suitability of the site to go forward in the site</p> | <p>Restoration issues will be considered having regard to relevant policies in the adopted Minerals Strategy.</p> |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| | selection process. | |
| Environmental Impacts: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Many internationally protected Sand Lizards and Smooth Snakes located on this site: further survey is required to assess this situation. | <p>Site proposers have been contacted requesting a broad range of further assessment studies.</p> <p>In order to be acceptable, the development proposal would need to pass the tests in the Conservation Regulations (previously: Habitats Regulations).</p> | These matters will be considered in further detail. |
| Social Issues | | |
| Highways: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concern that additional traffic caused by quarrying activity will create additional pollution and congestion. Concern that quarry traffic should not be routed through Cold Harbour, as the residents already suffer from commuter traffic, landfill/ball clay/sand and gravel HGVs, caravans and other visitors. | <p>The Highway Authority, which considers matters of highway safety, is consulted at every stage in the plan's preparation.</p> <p>Any planning application would need to be accompanied by a Transport Assessment (TA) study.</p> | These matters will be considered in further detail. |
| Recreation: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Queries regarding future plans for footpath creation to enhance public safety and so avoid road use. Consideration that extraction on this site would remove one of the only dry walking areas in the locality: would like to know how this would be addressed? | Should the site be considered for inclusion in the plan the MPA would need to take account of recreation opportunities which might be secured both during extraction and post-restoration. | These matters will be considered in further detail. |
| Economic Issues | | |
| None identified. | | |