

**For office use only**  
Batch number: \_\_\_\_\_

Received: \_\_\_\_\_

Representor ID # \_\_\_\_\_

Ack: \_\_\_\_\_

Representation # \_\_\_\_\_

## **NORTH DORSET LOCAL PLAN REVIEW**

### **Issues and Options Consultation**

### **27 November 2017 to 22 January 2018**

## **Response Form**

As part of the Local Plan Review (LPR), North Dorset District Council has prepared an Issues and Options Document for consultation. The Issues and Options Document, the Sustainability Appraisal and associated documents can be viewed online via:

<https://www.dorsetforyou.gov.uk/planning/north-dorset/planning-policy>

### **Please return completed forms to:**

Email: [planningpolicy@north-dorset.gov.uk](mailto:planningpolicy@north-dorset.gov.uk)

Post: Planning Policy (North Dorset), South Walks House, South Walks Road, Dorchester, DT1 1UZ

**Deadline: 5pm on 22 January 2018. Representations received after this time may not be accepted.**

### **Part A – Personal details**

This part of the form must be completed by all people making representations as **anonymous comments cannot be accepted**. By submitting this response form you consent to your information being disclosed to third parties for this purpose. Personal details will not be visible on our website, although they will be shown on paper copies that will be available for inspection by members of the public and other interested parties.

\*If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name, Job Title and Organisation boxes in the personal details but complete the full contact details of the agent including email address. All correspondence will be sent to the agent.

| Personal Details*                      |                      | Agent's Details (if applicable)* |
|--|----------------------|----------------------------------|
| Title                                  | Ms                   |                                  |
| First Name                             | Trudi                |                                  |
| Last Name                              | Green                |                                  |
| Job Title( <i>where</i>                |                      |                                  |
| Organisation ( <i>where relevant</i> ) |                      |                                  |
| Address                                | ██████████           |                                  |
| Postcode                               | ██████               |                                  |
| Tel. No.                               |                      |                                  |
| Email Address                          | ████████████████████ |                                  |

## Part B – Representations

Please answer as many questions or as few questions as you wish. There is a box at the end of the form where you can provide any comments that you may have.

### **Housing**

1. Do you consider that a housing need figure of 366 dwellings a year is an appropriate figure on which to plan for housing growth in North Dorset? If not, please set out what you consider to be an appropriate figure and provide reasons for this.

Yes

No

*It is impossible for a layman to give alternative figures.*

*In Shaftesbury land acquired by developers and not built on has not been taken into account. In Shaftesbury, brown field sites have not been taken into account*

### **Employment**

2. Do you consider that additional employment land should be allocated for development at Blandford as part of the Local Plan Review?

Yes

No

3. Do you consider that there is a need to allocate additional employment land in any other part(s) of the District?

Yes

No

### **Spatial Strategy**

4. Do you consider that the existing spatial strategy, as set out in LPP1, should be amended to allow for some limited growth at Stalbridge, beyond just meeting local needs?

Yes

No

5. Do you think that the Council should consider implementing any other alternative spatial strategy through the LPR? If so, please explain your reasons why.

Yes

No

*If you have answered 'Yes' please set out your alternative spatial strategy and provide reasoning to support it.*

6. Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Blandford?  
Yes   
No
7. Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?  
Yes   
No

*If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.*

8. What are the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future development at Blandford?

*Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.*

### **Gillingham**

9. Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Gillingham?  
Yes   
No
10. Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?  
Yes   
No

*If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.*

11. What are the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future development at Gillingham?

*Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.*

### **Shaftesbury**

12. Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Shaftesbury?  
Yes   
No
13. Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?  
Yes   
No

*Shaftesbury is a unique hilltop town with unparalleled views of countryside merging into town and beyond. This will be threatened by any development going beyond the existing town boundaries and impact upon the economy, tourism and well-being of inhabitants in Shaftesbury/*

*There needs to be a green belt which prevents Gillingham, Motcombe and Shaftesbury becoming one large conurbation.*

14. What are the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future development at Shaftesbury?

*Water supplies, sewage, education at all levels, health provision and social services, employment, amenities and green space for all.*

*Legally binding impact assessments must be in place for all of the above.*

### **Sturminster Newton**

15. Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Sturminster Newton?  
Yes   
No
16. Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?  
Yes   
No

*If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.*

17. What are the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future development at Sturminster Newton?

*Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.*

### **Stalbridge**

18. Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Stalbridge?

Yes

No

19. Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?

Yes

No

*If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.*

20. What are the most important infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future development at Stalbridge?

*Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.*

### **The Villages**

21. Do you agree with the Council's proposed approach in relation to future development at the eighteen larger villages within the District or do you think that the Council should consider an alternative approach?

Yes

No

*If you have answered 'No' please set out your alternative approach and information/reasoning behind this.*

### **Affordable Housing**

22. Do you consider that the existing reference to nine dwellings in Policy 9 of LPP1 should be removed from the policy to allow larger schemes to come forward where there is evidence of local need in excess of that which could be met by the provision of nine dwellings?
- Yes
- No
23. Do you consider that the existing policy approach, which seeks to prevent exception sites coming forward adjacent to the four main towns within the District, should be amended?
- Yes
- No
24. Do you consider that the Council should continue with its existing policy approach, which allows for a small number of market homes on rural exception sites?
- Yes
- No

### **Self-Build and Custom-Build Housing**

25. Do you consider that the Council should facilitate the provision of self-build housing by any, some, or all of the following options?
- Yes
- No
- a. Allowing serviced plots to come forward under the current development plan policies.
- Yes
- No
- b. Updating Policy 7 (Delivering Homes) in the Local Plan Part 1 to promote the provision of serviced plots of land for self-build housing.
- Yes
- No
- c. Requiring on sites above a certain size that serviced self-build plots should be made available as a proportion of the total number of dwellings permitted (with or without a minimum number being specified) on-site.
- Yes
- No
- d. Allowing a proportion (up to 100%) of self-build plots on exception sites (with controls over the resale value of the properties).
- Yes
- No
- e. Identifying land in public ownership which would be sold only for self-build development.
- Yes
- No
- f. The use of Local Development Orders to facilitate self-build development.
- Yes
- No
26. Are there any other approaches that could be used to meet the demand for self-build housing?
- Yes
- No

*If you have answered 'Yes' please outline the other approaches which the Council could pursue.*

**Ensuring the Vitality and Viability of Town Centres**

27. Do you consider that the existing hierarchy and network of centres, as set out in LPP1, should be amended to include Stalbridge as a 'local centre'?

Yes

No

**Important Open or Wooded Areas (IOWAs)**

28. Do you agree that those IOWAs, which are protected from development by other planning policies or legislation, should be deleted?

Yes

No

**The A350 Corridor**

29. Do you consider that the land which is identified and safeguarded for the Shaftesbury Outer Bypass and the Charlton Marshall and Spetisbury Bypass should continue to be identified and safeguarded for such purposes?

Yes

No

## Comments

If you have any comments about the Issues and Options Document or the Sustainability Appraisal please set them out in the box below. If your comments are in relation to a specific question or chapter of the Issues and Options Document then please state which question or chapter your comments relate to.

This form is difficult to access, understand and complete so we feel consultation is not welcome



The Sustainability Appraisal seems to have been largely ignored.  
Health and Well-being (e.g walkers, dog walkers, cyclists, the needs of children and teenagers) have been ignored.  
Wildlife, particularly wildlife corridors, and Shaftesbury's natural richness has been ignored.  
Some land already acquired for development has not been developed and this has been ignored.  
Some developments which have taken place have not provided the promised amenities or infrastructure and this has been ignored.  
We need to ensure PROPER consultation takes place with all local people to see what the Shaftesbury community actually needs and wants.

I

*Continue on a separate sheet if necessary*

Do you wish to be contacted about future consultations relating to the Local Plan Review?

Yes

No

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

*If submitting the form electronically, no signature is required.*

When completed please send form to [planningpolicy@north-dorset.gov.uk](mailto:planningpolicy@north-dorset.gov.uk)